For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@futuremedicine.com

Metabolomics-based systems biology and personalized medicine: moving towards n = 1 clinical trials?



Jan van der Greef^{1,2,3†}, Thomas Hankemeier³ & Robert N McBurney²

[†]Author for correspondence ¹TNO Systems Biology, Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research, P.O. 360. 2700 AJ Zeist. Netherlands Tel.: +31 071 527 4220 E-mail: jan.vandergreef@ tno.nl ²BG Medicine, Inc., 610 Lincoln Street North, Waltham, MA 02451, USA ³Leiden/Amsterdam Center for Drug Research (LACDR) and Center for Medical Systems Biology, Leiden University. Gorlaeus Laboratories, PO Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden. The Netherlands

Keywords: integrative medicine, metabolomics, personalized medicine, phenotyping, systems biology



Personalized medicine – defined as customized medical care for each patient's unique condition – in the broader context of personalized health, will make significant strides forward when a systems approach is implemented to achieve the ultimate in disease phenotyping and to create novel therapeutics that address system-wide molecular perturbations caused by disease processes. Combination drug therapies with individualized optimization are likely to become a major focus. Metabolomics incorporates the most advanced approaches to molecular phenotype system readout and provides the ideal theranostic technology platform for the discovery of biomarker patterns associated with healthy and diseased states, for use in personalized health monitoring programs and for the design of individualized interventions.

The present era in life sciences is characterized by fundamental changes in our views of health and disease that are driven by recent advances in bioanalytical and bioinformatic technologies and the novel insights into human biology that are emerging through the application of these technologies. It is inevitable that future paths for research and development in biomedical, pharmaceutical and nutritional domains will converge into a unified activity. These new discoveries and new insights are stimulating discussions of a paradigm shift in healthcare and consequently are highlighting the challenges that we face in the immediate future.

Currently, experimental studies can not keep pace with the new concepts that are presented in the numerous 'opinion pieces' or review papers focused on personalized medicine. Examples of the substantial and thoughtful publications in this general area are: the '2029 Report' [101] and the publication '*Life Sciences: A Changing Prescription*' [1]. Personalized medicine is linked to almost all the key future developments mentioned in those reports.

From a commercial perspective, the pharmaceutical industry is struggling with a reliance on blockbuster drugs, a continuing decrease in new product launches, the increasing drug development costs and the withdrawals of drugs from the market due to unexpected safety issues. In such an environment, it is evident that the blockbuster approach is not viable in the longer term and that moving to 'niche busters' becomes an attractive option [2] with potential advantages, such as reduced development time, smaller focused clinical trials, better safety and attractive profitability. Given the uniqueness of every human being, the best possible solution for personalized health is obviously personalized medicine that could be based on a 'niche buster' pharmacopeia, but which migrates iteratively to truly personalized treatment strategies (discussed later).

The concept of personalized health is not actually new, as it has been the basis of non-Western medical practices for centuries, such as in traditional Chinese medicine and Ayurveda, in which personalized treatments have largely been the sole approach to medicine. A unique opportunity therefore exists to improve both diagnosis and treatment of human diseases by generating a unified view on biology and medicine through the integration of Western and Eastern knowledge [2,3].

Directly related to the shift in our thinking about healthcare generally is a parallel scientific shift toward a systems approach to biology and medicine, using system molecular biomarker profiles as an important tool for optithe drug discovery mizing and drug development process - encompassing a better molecular understanding of disease processes (system pharmacology), drug safety profiles (systems toxicology) and drug efficacy (systems pharmacology) [4]. In addition, stratifying patients on molecular biomarker profiles is a key step towards treatment responder/nonresponder differentiation. Furthermore, the interspecies comparison of molecular systems characteristics is a key tool for translational activities in drug development that depend upon the relevance of preclinical models to the human clinical situation [5].

A molecular systems approach and the application of metabolomics technologies have been identified as a unique bridge between different cultural perspectives on personalized healthcare [3] and will definitely play an important role in the advancement of personalized medicine [6], as recognized by the US FDA [7]. However, despite the opportunities for this highly-relevant molecular phenotyping technology to impact personalized medicine, to date high expectations have been tempered by disappointing achievements [8].

The integration of gene transcript, protein and metabolite information into a systems biology perspective for molecular phenotyping has already been described in detail for animal studies [9–11]. Additional reports relevant to personalized medicine have been made for diabetic nephropathy [12] and rheumatoid arthritis [13]. Furthermore, the power of metabolite profiling in case of silent genotypes [14] was demonstrated and discussed in relation to studies on yeast [15].

In this short review, we will describe the current status of metabolomics from a systems perspective and provide a provocative view of the future. The working definitions used in this review are:

- Metabolome: the entire complement of all the low-molecular-weight molecules (metabolites in cells, bodyfluids, tissues and so on)
- Metabolomics: the comprehensive quantitative and qualitative analysis of all small molecules in a system (in samples of cells, body fluids, tissues and so on)

We view personalized medicine as part of a larger, personalized health concept. Although the discussion in this paper is limited to personalized medicine, we assert that the integration of systems-based molecular phenotyping with nutrition, psychology and environmental aspects into a total lifestyle 'package' is a prerequisite for revolutionizing healthcare. The area of nutrition metabolomics in the context of personalized health, with respect to defining the nutritional phenotype, is currently also an important topic [16,17].

Current state of metabolomics in personalized medicine

Since the initiation, in the early 1980s, of studies on metabolite fingerprinting of body fluids using pattern recognition to study gender differences [18], the sensitivity of these methodologies for revealing phenotype in terms of metabolite patterns has been recognized. Metabolomic studies have generated important findings, ranging from gender-specific differences to ethnic, nutritional, environmental, microbial, psychological and disease aspects [19-25]. From a technology perspective modern metabolomics strategies involve a metabolomics platform typically composed of various approaches using different techniques such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), gas chromatography mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) and liquid chromatography mass spectroscopy (LC-MS) to achieve a high coverage of metabolites. Phenotypic information is present across the whole concentration range and, because metabolite coverage is key for success, mass spectrometry is especially important to reveal the metabolite patterns at low con-Moreover, integration of this centrations. information into a systems perspective [4] is mandatory to obtain a better understanding. An example of an advanced systems biology platform is given in Figure 1, metabolomics-related aspects have been discussed previously [26].

Different molecular subphenotypes in human disease were reported using plasma metabolomics analysis by NMR of samples from patients with coronary heart disease [27], but a recent publication could not reproduce the effects and it was argued that the original work did not adequately incorporate gender and medicine used in the statistical evaluation [28].

Over the past few years, studies have appeared that illustrate disease-specific metabolite profiles, but no study has yet demonstrated the next step of fine-tuning a therapeutic intervention based on this information. Such an approach would be fundamentally out-of-phase with approaches in the pharmaceutical industry where there is an overwhelming emphasis on 'mechanism'. It might be worth contrasting the current interest in, for example, the Omega-3-Index as a new cardiovascular risk factor with the lack of wholesale acceptance of multivariate approaches. A recent study on metabolite urine profiling of rats, in which the metabolite pattern has been claimed to contain predictive power for liver injury following paracetamol administration, is the closest published so far in demonstrating the power of metabolite profiles in predicting drug response, but the statistical basis is not yet solid enough and more work using larger groups and more significant multivariate statistics is required [28].

It is important to note that animal studies under strictly controlled conditions are still far away from the human situation characterized by its diversity of states. In addition, even in controlled animal experiments, it has been found that differences in microflora [29] strongly influence the metabolite fingerprint of the individual animals.



However, as reported at the recent 2nd International Meeting of the Metabolomics Society, convincing pharmacometabolomics data is on the horizon demonstrating segregation of patients according to drug responder/nonresponder groups in validated efficacy studies or according to adverse effect sensitivity in safety studies [31,32]. Finally, in schizophrenia, a study that employed lipid-focused metabolomics correlated the effects of olanzapine, risperidone and aripiprazole to a metabolomics phenotype profile [31].

Expert commentary

"What are the challenges that must be overcome to realize the potential of metabolomics in the field of personalized medicine?"

Obviously, the phenotype molecular profile is extremely complex and studying this complexity necessitates considerable efforts in time and budget. Fortunately, metabolomics can capture this phenotype very effectively. Moreover, phenotypic differentiation of the unique profile of each individual needs to be guided by clear goals and therapeutic intervention options. While pharmaco '-omics' strategies can, in principle, be used to stratify patients for given drugs, currently-available drugs have typically not been developed for specific subphenotypes and consequently likely have a 'cross-subphenotype' activity profile. Some recent exceptions involve anticancer drugs, such as Herceptin® (trastuzumab), Gleevec[®] (imatinib mesylate) and Iressa[®] (gefitinib). Heterogeneity in patient response to cancer chemotherapy is a major issue with many probable causes, including those directly related to systems toxicology and interindividual differences in drug disposition or pharmacokinetics. In some cases, drug effects have been linked to polymorphisms in genes encoding for drug-metabolizing enzymes [33]. Such links might be caused by the intense nature of the system perturbations caused by certain drugs, which makes the enzymatic principles - poor, extended and hypermetabolizers - surface because drug metabolism is an important bottleneck under such toxicological conditions. In combination therapy, the most important molecular drivers of drug synergies are largely not understood in detail. Development of novel therapies based on such molecular-systems-based approaches are very appealing, but still in their infancy due to limited accessibility of robust and affordable molecular systems biology platforms.



Figure 2. Differentiation of ApoE3-Leiden transgenic mice and wild type at an early

The ability of metabolomics to trace 'subtle' differences at the genotype level is demonstrated in Figure 2, which was generated from the datasets of a study on the early diagnosis of atherosclerosis in ApoE3-Leiden transgenic mice. Under the conditions of the experiment, no anatomical or histopathological phenotypical differences can be detected using standard techniques, but strong differences are displayed in the plasma metabolite profile as shown for plasma lipids. In this figure, the inset shows the differential plasma lipid profile comprised of components that have different concentrations in the transgenic mice compared with the wild-type mice. In addition, correlation networks can be generated from the datasets and these reveal the interrelationship between transcripts, proteins and metabolites in an integrated systems biology perspective [9-11], representing the ultimate in molecular systems biology phenotyping, including insights into the patterns of relationships.

Outlook

Future role of metabolomics in systems-based personalized medicine

The technological developments in metabolomics will be significant in the near future,

directed toward improving coverage, sensitivity, miniaturization of sample size, throughput and cost level. Fully automated systems can be expected with the necessary robustness to measure thousands of metabolites in plasma samples with volumes of $5-10 \mu l$.

Based on such technologies, new options for molecular phenotyping will become available, such as those presented in Figure 3. Three major routes are discussed for molecular phenotyping. Route A is based on the current rapid developments in stem cell research and tissue bioengineering [101] that will enable the creation of a personalized cell screening platform for most general tissues related to efficacy and safety in medical practice. Of course, a collection of different (personalized) cell types is ideal to perform rapid and multiple readouts; however, such an approach will not generate systemslevel molecular phenotype information, and certainly not any psychology-related phenotypic information. Route B derives metabolite profiles from a steady-state system viewpoint, while route C uses a 'challenge test' to enable measurements of a dynamic systems response profile (SRP). We believe that the latter



approach will provide a more sensitive and informative readout at the early onset of disease or loss of homeostasis [34], and that this type of information will be crucial for prevention-oriented approaches. A common example of this approach is the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), which can reveal early onset of Type 2 diabetes. A more specialized example would be an acetylator phenotype test using caffeine [35]. An exercise 'challenge test' has also recently been reported to be an effective approach to reveal molecular biomarkers of myocardial ischemia [36].

Integration of comprehensive phenotyping information into an innovative personalized health system including diagnosis, intervention and monitoring, which will occur in the future, is depicted in Figure 4. In this scheme, patients are phenotyped via a direct molecular systems profiling or via a 'challenge test' approach. The resulting information is the input to an algorithm, which also draws upon a large database of information on environmental factors, genotype/phenotype information related to health and disease, and so on, to generate its output an initial proposal for therapeutic intervention. This intervention will be a personalized version of a more generic niche busters combination therapy, in which different systems characteristics for a given disease domain allow adjustment of the combination intervention, which might include nutrition, lifestyle and psychological recommendations [37]. The result of this first intervention is monitored via the patient's molecular phenotype and the intervention is optimized in an iterative fashion until a satisfactory treatment is achieved. This approach would effectively be an 'n = 1 clinical trial'.



In such a case, diagnosis and intervention go hand in hand, and the newly developed, personalized, drug combinations will have evolved from better understood molecular phenotypes. The provocative concept presented here is in line with the proposal that a molecular systems approach is mandatory to advance personalized healthcare. Such an approach will require large, metabolomics-based screening programs to obtain appropriate information across ethnicidifferent environmental conditions, ties. health/disease states, age, gender, psychological aspects and so on. Surprisingly, this 'futuristic' scheme has already existed for a long time in Eastern medicine, which has been personalized since its origin and is heavily focused on prevention. There is a lot to be gained through the integration of the different medical practices across different cultures, and the personalized diagnostic approaches and the personalized treatment strategies of different cultures could be a great example of capturing value on a global basis to advance healthcare worldwide. The focus on preventive strategies cannot be emphasized enough, and is an additional important factor to be

incorporated in future personalized healthcare practices [38]. Metabolomics-based systems analysis and phenotyping will be key and, as outlined above, the 'challenge test' is likely to provide early disease diagnosis.

Perhaps the large clinical trial paradigm will fade away along with blockbuster drugs. Niche busters will become the most common output of the pharmaceutical industry and the scheme shown in Figure 4 representing an 'n = 1 clinical trial' will become the daily practice of physicians, with multiple, molecular-phenotypeguided and -monitored studies on a single patient. If this vision of future healthcare is to be realized, it will be essential to soon begin planning for stepwise implementation in the coming decennia.

Obviously, the present healthcare systems need to be changed radically in order to introduce this new paradigm. However, as has been elegantly analyzed and described based on a complex system analysis [39], for cost and error reduction in drug prescribing and healthcare delivery in the USA, such a strategy at the right operational scale might be a perfect solution.

Highlights

- A phenotype is comprised of numerous underlying molecular processes that are interdependent, interconnected, multileveled and nonlinear; a molecular systems approach is mandatory for understanding and defining the phenotype.
- Metabolomics is the bio-analytical platform that is currently capable of describing the phenotype most comprehensively.
 Personalized medicine depends on an understanding of systems biology and on systems-based developed interventions; monotherapy will fade away and combination therapy will grow in importance.
- Personalized medicine, as part of a personalized health encompassing lifestyle, nutrition, psychology, environmental issues and medicine, is the ultimate opportunity to improve healthcare.
- Biomarkers as health indicators are urgently needed to move the personalized medicine field forward.

Bibliography

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (•) or of considerable interest (••) to readers.

- Burrill & Company: *Life Sciences a changing prescription*. Burrill Media group, CA, USA (2006).
- •• Commercial perspective on personalized medicine.
- Ginsburg GS, Konstance RP, Allsbrook JS, Schulman KA: Implications of pharmacogenomics for drug development and clinical practice. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 165(20), 2331–2336 (2005).
- •• Clinical perspective on personalized medicine.
- Wang M, Lamers RJ, Korthout HA *et al*.: Metabolomics in the context of systems biology: bridging traditional Chinese medicine and molecular pharmacology. *J. Phytother. Res.* 19(3), 173–182 (2005).
- Van der Greef J, McBurney RN: Rescuing drug discovery and drug development: in vivo systems pathology and systems pharmacology. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 4, 961–967 (2005).
- Van der Greef J, Adourian A, Muntendam P, McBurney RN: Lost in translation? Role of metabolomics in solving translational problems in drug discovery and development. *Drug Discovery Today: Technologies* (2006) (In press).
- •• Introducing systems thinking in pharmaceutical research and development.
- Abrahams E, Ginsburg GS, Silver M: The Personalized Medicine Coalition: goals and strategies. *Am. J. Pharmacogenomics* 5(6), 345–355 (2005).
- Bren L: Metabolomics: working towards personalized medicine. *FDA Consumer Magazine* 6, 29–33 (2005).
- Nebert DW, Jorge-Nebert L, Vesell ES: Pharmacogenomics and "individualized drug therapy": high expectations and disappointing achievements. *Am. J. Pharmacogenomics* 3(6), 361–370 (2003).

- Clish CB, Davidov E, Oresic M *et al.*: Integrative biological analysis of the APOE*3-leiden transgenic mouse. *OMICS* 8(1), 3–13 (2004).
- First *de novo* mammalian systems biology demonstration.
- Davidov E, Clish CB, Oresic M *et al*.: Methods for the differential integrative omic analysis of plasma from a transgenic disease animal model. *OMICS* 8(4), 267–288 (2004).
- Oresic M, Clish CB, Davidov EJ *et al.*: Phenotype characterisation using integrated gene transcript, protein and metabolite profiling. *Appl. Bioinformatics* 3(4), 205–217 (2004).
- Susztak K, Bottinger EP: Diabetic nephropathy: a frontier for personalized medicine. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 17(2), 361–367 (2006).
- Glocker MO, Guthke R, Kekow J, Thiesen HJ: Rheumatoid arthritis, a complex multifactorial disease: on the way toward individualized medicine. *Med. Res. Rev.* 26(1), 63–87 (2006).
- Raamsdonk LM, Teusink B, Broadhurst D et al.: A functional genomics strategy that uses metabolome data to reveal the phenotype of silent mutations. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 19(1), 45–50 (2001).
- Griffin JL: Metabolic profiles to define the genome: can we hear the phenotypes? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 359(1446), 857–871 (2004).
- German JB, Watkins SM, Fay LB: Metabolomics in practice: emerging knowledge to guide future dietetic advice toward individualized health. *J. Am. Diet Assoc.* 105(9), 1425–1432 (2005).
- Zeisel SH, Freake HC, Bauman DE *et al*.: The nutritional phenotype in the age of metabolomics. *J. Nutr.* 135(7), 1613–1616 (2005).
- •• Nutritional perspective on personalized health.
- Van der Greef J, Tas AC, Bouwman J, Ten Noever de Brauw MC, Schreurs WHP: Evaluation of field-desorption and fast

atom-bombardement mass spectrometric profiles by pattern recognition techniques. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 150, 45–52 (1983).

- Bollard ME, Stanley EG, Lindon JC, Nicholson JK, Holmes E: NMR-based metabonomic approaches for evaluating physiological influences on biofluid composition. *NMR Biomed.* 18(3), 143–162 (2005).
- Kochhar S, Jacobs DM, Ramadan Z, Berruex F, Fuerholz A, Fay LB: Probing gender-specific metabolism differences in humans by nuclear magnetic resonance-based metabonomics. *Anal. Biochem.* 352(2), 274–281 (2006).
- Plumb RS, Granger JH, Stumpf CL *et al.*: A rapid screening approach to metabonomics using UPLC and oa-TOF mass spectrometry: application to age, gender and diurnal variation in normal/Zucker obese rats and black, white and nude mice. *Analyst* 130(6), 844–849 (2005).
- Robosky LC, Wells DF, Egnash LA, Manning ML, Reily MD, Robertson DG: Metabonomic identification of two distinct phenotypes in Sprague-Dawley (Crl:CD[SD]) rats. *Toxicol. Sci.* 87(1), 277–284 (2005).
- Dumas ME, Maibaum EC, Teague C *et al.*: Assessment of analytical reproducibility of ¹H NMR spectroscopy based metabonomics for large-scale epidemiological research: the INTERMAP Study. *Anal. Chem.* 78(7), 2199–2208 (2006).
- Lenz EM, Bright J, Wilson ID *et al.*: Metabonomics, dietary influences and cultural differences: a ¹H NMR-based study of urine samples obtained from healthy British and Swedish subjects. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 36(4), 841–849 (2004).
- Holmes E, Nicholson J: Variation in gut microbiota strongly influences individual rodent phenotypes. *Toxicol. Sci.* 87(1), 277–284 (2005).
- van der Greef J, Verheij ER, Vogels J, van der Heijden R, Davidov E, Naylor S: The role of metabolomics in systems biology, a new vision for drug discovery and

development. In: *Metabolic Profiling: Its role in biomarker discovery and gene function analysis.* Harrigan GG, Goodacre R (Eds). Kluwer Academic Publishing, Boston, MA, USA, 170–198 (2003).

- Brindle JT, Antti H, Holmes E *et al.*: Rapid and noninvasive diagnosis of the presence and severity of coronary heart disease using ¹H-NMR-based metabonomics. *Nat. Med.* 8(12), 1439–1444 (2002).
- Kirschenlohr HL, Griffin JL, Clarke SC et al.: Proton NMR analysis of plasma is a weak predictor of coronary artery disease. *Nat. Med.* 12, 705–710 (2006).
- Clayton TA, Lindon JC, Cloarec O *et al*. Pharmaco-metabonomic phenotyping and personalized drug treatment. *Nature* 440(7087), 1073–1077 (2006).
- Nicholson JK, Holmes E, Wilson ID: Gut microorganisms, mammalian metabolism and personalized health care. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3(5), 431–438 (2005).
- •• Arguing importance of gut flora in phenotyping.

- Kaddurah-Daouk R: From biomarkers and disease mechanisms to Pharmacometabolomics and personalized therapy. Presented at: *Second Scientific meeting of the Metabolomics Society*, Boston, USA, June 24–29, (2006).
- Gerszten R: Metabolomic analysis of planned myocardial injury: insights into biomarkers and pathways. Presented at: *Second Scientific meeting of the Metabolomics Society*, Boston, USA, June 24–29, (2006).
- Watters JW, McLeod HL: Cancer pharmacogenomics: current and future applications. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1603(2), 99–111 (2003).
- Van der Greef J, Stroobant P, van der Heijden R: The role of analytical sciences in medical systems biology. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 8(5), 559–565 (2004).
- Grant DM, Tang BK, Kalow W: A simple test for acetylator phenotype using caffeine. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 58(7), S788–S793 (2004).
- Sabatine MS, Liu E, Morrow DA *et al.*: Metabolomic identification of novel

biomarkers of myocardial ischemia. *Circulation* 112(25), 3868–3875 (2005).

- •• Excellent example of biomarker identification.
- Van der Greef J: Systems biology, connectivity and the future of medicine. *IEE Proc., Syst. Biol.* 152(4), 174–178 (2005).
- Snyderman R, Yoediono Z: Prospective care: a personalized, preventative approach to medicine. *Pharmacogenomics* 7(1), 5–9 (2006).
- 39. Bar-Yam Y: Making things work, solving complex problems in a complex world. Knowledge press, MA, USA. (2004)
 Introduction into solving complex problems with systems-based approaches.

Website

- Institute for Alternative Futures, The 2029 project: Achieving an ethical future in biomedical R&D: Alexandria, Virginia, (2005).
 - www.altfutures.com
 - Scientific view on future biomedical directions.